

It IS Rocket Science you know...

Experiments to improve student understanding of the
Scientific Method and Creativity

Dr Colin Turner Dr Alan Leacock

3rd May 2007

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Confidence and the Scientific Method
 - Risk Taking & Serendipity
 - Science in secondary education
- 3 Experiments as part of the solution
 - Water Rockets
 - Approximation, Modelling & Prediction
- 4 Conclusions
 - Acknowledgements

Introduction

Increasingly we find problems with students' fundamental underpinnings in Science and Mathematics. They often come to us with relatively weak understanding of these disciplines, and poor motivation to master them.

Here we explore some of the issues, and a proposed solution we intend for our new Integrated Foundation Year (IFY).

Confidence & Creativity

Lack of Confidence

- Increasingly we are observing that students are more reluctant to “get stuck into” a problem.

Confidence & Creativity

Lack of Confidence

- Increasingly we are observing that students are more reluctant to “get stuck into” a problem.
- They don't want to begin until they can see the solution.

Confidence & Creativity

Lack of Confidence

- Increasingly we are observing that students are more reluctant to “get stuck into” a problem.
- They don't want to begin until they can see the solution.
- This greatly limits the solutions they can arise at finally.

Confidence & Creativity

Lack of Confidence

- Increasingly we are observing that students are more reluctant to “get stuck into” a problem.
- They don't want to begin until they can see the solution.
- This greatly limits the solutions they can arise at finally.

Creativity

- It can be argued that this is the end result of an education process that strongly penalises mistakes.

Confidence & Creativity

Lack of Confidence

- Increasingly we are observing that students are more reluctant to “get stuck into” a problem.
- They don't want to begin until they can see the solution.
- This greatly limits the solutions they can arise at finally.

Creativity

- It can be argued that this is the end result of an education process that strongly penalises mistakes.
- to encourage creativity we might consider ways in which we **don't** penalise mistakes,

Confidence & Creativity

Lack of Confidence

- Increasingly we are observing that students are more reluctant to “get stuck into” a problem.
- They don't want to begin until they can see the solution.
- This greatly limits the solutions they can arise at finally.

Creativity

- It can be argued that this is the end result of an education process that strongly penalises mistakes.
- to encourage creativity we might consider ways in which we **don't** penalise mistakes, except those concerning health and safety,

Confidence & Creativity

Lack of Confidence

- Increasingly we are observing that students are more reluctant to “get stuck into” a problem.
- They don't want to begin until they can see the solution.
- This greatly limits the solutions they can arise at finally.

Creativity

- It can be argued that this is the end result of an education process that strongly penalises mistakes.
- to encourage creativity we might consider ways in which we **don't** penalise mistakes, except those concerning health and safety, if the students can be seen to have learnt from them.

Creativity & Science

Creativity, aesthetic appreciation etc. have long been seen as the province of the Arts, and not of Science and Engineering.

Creativity & Science

Creativity, aesthetic appreciation etc. have long been seen as the province of the Arts, and not of Science and Engineering.

Richard Feynman

I have a friend who's an artist, and he sometimes takes a view which I don't agree with. He'll hold up a flower and say, "Look how beautiful it is," and I'll agree. But then he'll say, "I, as an artist, can see how beautiful a flower is. But you, as a scientist, take it all apart and it becomes dull." I think he's kind of nutty. There are all kinds of interesting questions that come from a knowledge of science, which only adds to the excitement and mystery and awe of a flower. It only adds. I don't understand how it subtracts.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 **Confidence and the Scientific Method**
 - Risk Taking & Serendipity
 - Science in secondary education
- 3 Experiments as part of the solution
 - Water Rockets
 - Approximation, Modelling & Prediction
- 4 Conclusions
 - Acknowledgements

Risk Taking

Is Risk Bad?

- In Engineering, we have a specific interpretation of the word 'risk',

Risk Taking

Is Risk Bad?

- In Engineering, we have a specific interpretation of the word 'risk', that is often associated with Health and Safety issues;

Risk Taking

Is Risk Bad?

- In Engineering, we have a specific interpretation of the word 'risk', that is often associated with Health and Safety issues;
- consequently, the word has a special level of stigma;

Risk Taking

Is Risk Bad?

- In Engineering, we have a specific interpretation of the word 'risk', that is often associated with Health and Safety issues;
- consequently, the word has a special level of stigma;
- but, when H&S considerations are removed, (in other words, we consider risks that do not sit in this arena), what positive things can be said?

Risk Taking

What could be good?

- Permission to take risks can be liberating, and so create **fun!**

Risk Taking

What could be good?

- Permission to take risks can be liberating, and so create **fun!**
- It promotes an **iterative approach to problem solving** that can create much better final solutions than can be imagined a priori.

Risk Taking

What could be good?

- Permission to take risks can be liberating, and so create **fun!**
- It promotes an **iterative approach to problem solving** that can create much better final solutions than can be imagined a priori.
- It create more opportunities for **unintended outcomes** - many of the greatest discoveries in Science were accidental.

Risk Taking

What could be good?

- Permission to take risks can be liberating, and so create **fun!**
- It promotes an **iterative approach to problem solving** that can create much better final solutions than can be imagined a priori.
- It create more opportunities for **unintended outcomes** - many of the greatest discoveries in Science were accidental.
- It might create what could be cheekily be referred to as **unintended learning**.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 **Confidence and the Scientific Method**
 - Risk Taking & Serendipity
 - **Science in secondary education**
- 3 Experiments as part of the solution
 - Water Rockets
 - Approximation, Modelling & Prediction
- 4 Conclusions
 - Acknowledgements

Science in secondary education

Two effects in the secondary curricula have influenced this situation.

Science in secondary education

Two effects in the secondary curricula have influenced this situation.

League Tables, and “Hard” Science

Students simply study less Science. It is marginalised. It doesn't lend itself to league tables, it is diluted in Single and Double Award. Mathematics too, now concentrates on train timetables rather than algebra.

Science in secondary education

Two effects in the secondary curricula have influenced this situation.

League Tables, and “Hard” Science

Students simply study less Science. It is marginalised. It doesn't lend itself to league tables, it is diluted in Single and Double Award. Mathematics too, now concentrates on train timetables rather than algebra.

Health & Safety

Fears over the H&S types of risk mean that experimentation is less common, and less deep than in the past.

Experiments as part of the solution

Possible Solutions

Experiments as part of the solution

Possible Solutions

- 1 include **more elementary scientific experiments** in our elementary provision;

Experiments as part of the solution

Possible Solutions

- 1 include **more elementary scientific experiments** in our elementary provision;
- 2 make them **fun, cheap and safe**;

Experiments as part of the solution

Possible Solutions

- 1 include **more elementary scientific experiments** in our elementary provision;
- 2 make them **fun, cheap and safe**;
- 3 teach the underpinning theory, and mathematical analysis **in context**.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Confidence and the Scientific Method
 - Risk Taking & Serendipity
 - Science in secondary education
- 3 Experiments as part of the solution
 - **Water Rockets**
 - Approximation, Modelling & Prediction
- 4 Conclusions
 - Acknowledgements

Water Rockets

As an example of how we plan to implement this in our IFY, using “water rockets”.

These use an old drinks bottle containing a little water, which is then filled with compressed air until it releases at a **reproducible** pressure. The air then forces the water out the back, and the mass of the water produced the reaction force to power the rocket.

Clearly here is a cheap, simple experiment that touches on Newton’s laws of motion, conservation of momentum, energy just for starters.

How will this integrate into the modules?

Presentation of Experiments

Essentially the idea is

Presentation of Experiments

Essentially the idea is

- 1 **formulate a hypothesis** (e.g. time in the air, and distance travelled horizontally depend on launch angle);

Presentation of Experiments

Essentially the idea is

- 1 **formulate a hypothesis** (e.g. time in the air, and distance travelled horizontally depend on launch angle);
- 2 devise an experiment to **test the hypothesis**;

Presentation of Experiments

Essentially the idea is

- 1 **formulate a hypothesis** (e.g. time in the air, and distance travelled horizontally depend on launch angle);
- 2 devise an experiment to **test the hypothesis**;
- 3 undertake the experiment, recording the results **and the conditions**, and testing the **reproducibility**;

Presentation of Experiments

Essentially the idea is

- 1 **formulate a hypothesis** (e.g. time in the air, and distance travelled horizontally depend on launch angle);
- 2 devise an experiment to **test the hypothesis**;
- 3 undertake the experiment, recording the results **and the conditions**, and testing the **reproducibility**;
- 4 **graph the results** and explore them;

Presentation of Experiments

Essentially the idea is

- 1 **formulate a hypothesis** (e.g. time in the air, and distance travelled horizontally depend on launch angle);
- 2 devise an experiment to **test the hypothesis**;
- 3 undertake the experiment, recording the results **and the conditions**, and testing the **reproducibility**;
- 4 **graph the results** and explore them;
- 5 **consider sources of error** and put forward ideas to reduce them if we reran the experiment.

But not much meaning will be extracted, apart from looking at the hypothesis.

Presentation of Experiments

Now, we begin a cycle

Presentation of Experiments

Now, we begin a cycle

- 1 introduce more theoretical aspects
(e.g. **trigonometry**, **constant speed**)

Presentation of Experiments

Now, we begin a cycle

- 1 introduce more theoretical aspects
(e.g. **trigonometry**, **constant speed**)
- 2 extract more meaning from the results;

Presentation of Experiments

Now, we begin a cycle

- 1 introduce more theoretical aspects
(e.g. **trigonometry**, **constant speed**)
- 2 extract more meaning from the results;
- 3 introduce more theoretical aspects
(e.g. **constant acceleration**)

Presentation of Experiments

Now, we begin a cycle

- 1 introduce more theoretical aspects
(e.g. **trigonometry**, **constant speed**)
- 2 extract more meaning from the results;
- 3 introduce more theoretical aspects
(e.g. **constant acceleration**)
- 4 extract more meaning from the results;

Presentation of Experiments

Now, we begin a cycle

- 1 introduce more theoretical aspects
(e.g. **trigonometry**, **constant speed**)
- 2 extract more meaning from the results;
- 3 introduce more theoretical aspects
(e.g. **constant acceleration**)
- 4 extract more meaning from the results;
- 5 introduce more theoretical aspects
(e.g. **momentum**, **energy**)

Presentation of Experiments

Now, we begin a cycle

- 1 introduce more theoretical aspects
(e.g. **trigonometry**, **constant speed**)
- 2 extract more meaning from the results;
- 3 introduce more theoretical aspects
(e.g. **constant acceleration**)
- 4 extract more meaning from the results;
- 5 introduce more theoretical aspects
(e.g. **momentum**, **energy**)
- 6 extract more meaning from the results.

You get the idea...

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Confidence and the Scientific Method
 - Risk Taking & Serendipity
 - Science in secondary education
- 3 Experiments as part of the solution
 - Water Rockets
 - **Approximation, Modelling & Prediction**
- 4 Conclusions
 - Acknowledgements

Horizontal Motion

Once the idea of trigonometry, and resolution of vectors is discussed, we can see the horizontal motion is quite simple **if we make lots of simplifying assumptions.**

$$\ddot{x} = 0$$

$$\dot{x} = u \cos \theta$$

$$\Rightarrow x = (u \cos \theta) \times t = ut \cos \theta$$

So, if we plot

$$u = \frac{x_F}{t_F \cos \theta}$$

We can start to explore initial velocity, using just constant speed logic.

Vertical Motion

Vertical motion is more complicated.

$$\ddot{y} = -g$$

$$\dot{y} = u \sin \theta - gt$$

$$y = ut \sin \theta - \frac{1}{2}gt^2$$

Theoretically we can use these equations to work out the height, and even g , but the model is really quite flawed.

Conclusions

- We need to find a way to introduce basic Science and Mathematics to our weakest students;
- We need to allow students to take risks;
- So we find cheap, fun, safe experiments that can be revisited to extract deeper meaning as we proceed through the module;
- Get students to understand what a hypothesis is, a theory, a model, and the limitations of models.
- We don't worry about "mistakes" provided students can reflect fully upon what happened and what should be refined;
- After all, things went wrong for us too!

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Confidence and the Scientific Method
 - Risk Taking & Serendipity
 - Science in secondary education
- 3 Experiments as part of the solution
 - Water Rockets
 - Approximation, Modelling & Prediction
- 4 Conclusions
 - Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements

We are pleased to thank the following for their contributions.

gantry production

Mr Paul Annesley

official chronographer

Dr Gordon Crawford

ignition control

Mr Bob McMurray

video telemetry

Mr John Henshaw

mission control

Mr Wilf Gilmore, Dr Sid Katzen, Mr

Ian MrCrum, Mr Robert McKeown

launch pad

The Provost: Professor Clarke, and

Estates